United States Olympic Committee National Anti-Doping Policies

(Revisions effective as of August 13, 2004, unless otherwise noted)

1. <u>Mandatory Rules from the World Anti-Doping Code.</u>

The World Anti-Doping Code requires each National Olympic Committee and National Paralympic Committee to adopt certain Articles from the World Anti-Doping Code verbatim into its own rules. The United States Olympic Committee ("USOC") hereby adopts the rules set forth in Annex A which are incorporated herein by reference.

2. <u>Retirement</u>.

- a. Any athlete enrolled in the United States Anti-Doping Agency's ("USADA") Registered Testing Pool who wishes to be removed from the program on account of retirement must promptly notify USADA and the applicable National Governing Body ("NGB") in writing in order for retirement from the Registered Testing Pool to be effective. Any athlete who has not provided advance written notice of retirement to USADA and then refuses to participate in a USADA test claiming retirement, shall be "ineligible" within the meaning of paragraph 6 of these policies for a period of 2 years following such refusal, subject to the right to a hearing set forth in paragraph 8 of these policies.
- b. Any athlete who has ever been enrolled in a No Advance Notice testing program or Registered Testing Pool of an International Federation ("IF"), the USOC or USADA who retires and then wishes to return to eligible status must enroll in the USADA Registered Testing Pool program at least 6 months in advance of regaining eligible status and, for purposes of participating on a USOC Team in the Olympic Games or Paralympic Games, 12 months before the start of those Games.
- c. USADA shall not suspend or terminate the prosecution of a doping offense as a result of an athlete's subsequent retirement.

3. <u>Suspension by an NGB or International Federation</u>.

Athletes and athlete support personnel shall be ineligible within the meaning of paragraph 6 of these policies while serving a period of ineligibility for violating anti-doping rules imposed by a National Governing Body as the result of proceedings by USADA or by an International Federation or other signatory to the World Anti-Doping Code.

4. <u>Testing During Ineligibility</u>.

In order to regain eligibility, within the meaning of paragraph 6 of these policies, any athlete who is declared ineligible for an anti-doping rule violation by a National Governing Body or, International Federation or other signatory to the World Anti-Doping

Code must comply with all requirements of the USADA Registered Testing Pool program during the period of ineligibility and must bear the costs associated with any no advance notice tests conducted by USADA on him or her during the period of ineligibility.

If an athlete subject to a period of ineligibility retires and is removed from USADA's Registered Testing Pool, then the athlete shall be subject to the additional reinstatement obligations set forth in Article 10.10 of the World Anti-Doping Code, as set forth in Annex A.

5. <u>Prior Participation in USADA's Registered Testing Pool Program by Potential</u> <u>Members of the U.S. Olympic and Paralympic Teams</u>.

It shall be the policy of the USOC to subject all athletes who are candidates for membership on the U.S. Olympic, or Paralympic Teams to USADA's no- advancenotice drug testing program for a period of at least 12 months before the commencement of the Games. In some sports, potential team candidates are not generally members of the NGB for their sport and are not otherwise subject to the jurisdiction of the NGB. The terms and conditions for testing athletes who are not subject to the jurisdiction of an NGB, which may vary based upon the circumstances existing in each sport, shall be determined by the USOC Chief Executive Officer. Any such athlete who is invited to participate in the USADA Registered Testing Pool program under the terms and conditions established by the USOC Chief Executive Officer and declines such invitation shall not be eligible, subject to the right to a hearing set forth in paragraph 8 of these policies, to participate on the USOC's Team at the next Olympic or Paralympic Games and shall otherwise be ineligible within the meaning of paragraph 6 of these policies for a period of 12 months following that invitation. (Athletes who are members of an NGB shall be automatically included in the USADA Registered Testing Pool after notice to them that they have been so designated by USADA in consultation with their NGB.)

6. <u>Ineligibility and Loss of USOC and NGB Opportunities and Benefits.</u>

If an athlete or athlete support personnel is found to be "ineligible" on account of an antidoping rule violation, the athlete will not be permitted to (i) participate in the Olympic, Pan American, or Paralympic Games, trials, or qualifying events; (ii) be a member of an Olympic, Pan American or Paralympic Games Team or staff; or (iii) have access to the training facilities of an Olympic Training Center or other programs and activities of the USOC including, but not limited to, grants, awards or employment. The applicable USOC policy on suspension of benefits in circumstances addressed by this rule, including on suspension of NGB benefits, is attached as Annex C and is incorporated herein by this reference.

7. <u>Rules of International Federations</u>.

The requirements and consequences set forth in this Policy shall be in addition to those obligations related to out-of-competition testing imposed by the various International

Federations and shall not relieve any athlete of the consequence of failing to comply with the anti-doping rules of his or her International Federation.

8. <u>Right to Hearing</u>.

No athlete or athlete support personnel shall be denied eligibility within the meaning of paragraph 6 of these policies without first being afforded the opportunity for a hearing pursuant to the USADA Protocol for Olympic Movement Testing ("USADA Protocol") incorporated into the contract between the USOC and USADA.

9. <u>Pre Games Testing</u>.

All athletes nominated for appointment to a U.S. Team for the Olympic, Paralympic or Pan American Games shall have been tested for doping at some time not more than 120 days prior to the opening ceremonies of such Games with such test or tests not resulting in an anti-doping rule violation. No athlete may be added to the U.S. Team by substitution or otherwise, unless he or she has been tested for doping and found negative within this 120 day period. It shall be the USOC's and USADA's obligation to ensure that the required testing occurs within the 120 day period. After appointment, athlete members of the U.S. Team may also be subject to additional testing through said Games. For purposes of this Article, if a specimen is collected at trials or other competition, the specimen analysis shall test for those substances and methods tested for in the applicable International Federation's In-Competition program; if a specimen is not collected at trials or other competition, the specimen analysis shall test for those substances and methods on the WADA List of Prohibited Substances which are prohibited both in- and out-ofcompetition. If an NGB submits an athlete as a replacement after the team has been selected, NGB shall notify the USADA of such replacement within 48 hours so that the USADA may conduct testing pursuant to this section if necessary. The NGB shall also arrange to make the replacement available for testing.

In the event that a specimen is confirmed to have an adverse analytical finding after the U.S. Team has been nominated by the NGB and approved by the USOC for the Olympic, Paralympic or Pan American Games, any hearing requested by the athlete for purposes of determining eligibility for the U.S. Team shall be conducted on an expedited basis pursuant to paragraph 9 of the USADA Protocol, but this sentence shall not apply until September 1, 2004. In the interest of time, the athlete may waive the Review Board process set forth in paragraph 9(a) of the USADA Protocol.

10. Missed No Advance Notice Tests.

a. Under the Registered Testing Pool program implemented by USADA, it is the responsibility of each athlete designated by a National Governing Body for participation in the Registered Testing Pool to provide USADA with up-to-date information on his or her whereabouts so that he or she can be located for no advance notice testing. Athletes identified for no advance notice testing are required to file Athlete Location Forms with USADA on a quarterly basis. They are also responsible for notifying USADA when they will not be available for

testing at the location specified on their Athlete Location Form. USADA has provided all athletes in the USADA Registered Testing Pool both a facsimile number and e-mail address to use in updating their Athlete Location Forms or to notify USADA that they will not be available for testing at the specified location at a particular time. The USADA procedure for determining that an athlete participating in the Registered Testing Pool program has a "missed test" is attached as Annex B.

b. Any athlete having three missed tests within any rolling 18 month period shall be ineligible within the meaning of paragraph 6 of these policies for a period of two years from the athlete's last "missed test." No athlete shall be disciplined for having three missed tests within an 18 month period unless the athlete has been offered an opportunity for a hearing as provided in paragraph 8 of these policies. Missed tests shall not be announced publicly until the conclusion of the hearing process.

11, <u>Public Disclosure of Pending Cases</u>.

No later than 2 business days after it has been determined in a hearing in accordance with the USADA Protocol that an anti-doping rule violation has occurred, or such hearing has been waived, or the assertion of an anti-doping rule violation has not been timely challenged, USADA shall publicly report the disposition of the anti-doping matter.

12. <u>Agreement by Participants to be Bound by the USOC National Anti-Doping Policies</u> <u>and the USADA Protocol</u>.

The World Anti-Doping Code requires that each signatory establish rules and procedures to ensure that all Participants (as that term is defined in the World Anti-Doping Code) under the authority of the signatory and its member organizations are informed of and agree to be bound by anti-doping rules in force of the relevant anti-doping organizations. To implement this requirement, each NGB shall be responsible for informing Participants in its sport of these USOC National Anti-Doping Policies and the USADA Protocol which is incorporated into the agreement between the USOC and USADA. By virtue of their membership in an NGB or participation in a competition organized or sanctioned by an NGB, Participants agree to be bound by the USOC National Anti-Doping Policies and the USADA Protocol.

13. <u>NGB Compliance with USOC National Anti-Doping Policies and the USADA</u> <u>Protocol.</u>

The World Anti-Doping Code imposes the obligation on the USOC to require as a condition of funding and recognition of NGBs that NGB rules be in compliance with applicable provisions of the World Anti-Doping Code. The applicable provisions of the World Anti-Doping Code have been incorporated into these USOC National Anti-Doping Policies and the USADA Protocol. NGBs shall not have any anti-doping rule which is

inconsistent with these policies or the USADA Protocol, and NGB compliance with these policies and the USADA Protocol shall be a condition of USOC funding and recognition.

14. <u>Incorporation into USOC/USADA Agreement.</u>

USADA's responsibility for implementing the applicable provisions of these policies shall be incorporated in the Agreement between the USOC and USADA.

15. <u>Review</u>.

The USOC will review implementation of these National Anti-Doping Policies on an annual basis.

16. <u>Effective Date</u>.

These restated USOC National Anti-Doping Policies, adopted by the USOC Board of Directors on August 12, 2004, shall go into effect on August 13, 2004. Such revisions shall not apply retroactively to matters pending before August 13, 2004. The USOC National Anti-Doping Policies, as modified through October 5, 2002, shall remain in effect until August 13, 2004.

ANNEX A TO USOC NATIONAL ANTI-DOPING POLICIES

ARTICLES FROM THE WORLD ANTI-DOPING CODE INCORPORATED VERBATIM INTO THE USOC ANTI-DOPING POLICIES AND THE USADA PROTOCOL FOR OLYMPIC MOVEMENT TESTING

ARTICLE 1: DEFINITION OF DOPING

Doping is defined as the occurrence of one or more of the anti-doping rule violations set forth in Article 2.1 through Article 2.8 of the *Code*.

ARTICLE 2: ANTI-DOPING RULE VIOLATIONS

The following constitute anti-doping rule violations:

- 2.1 The presence of a *Prohibited Substance* or its *Metabolites* or *Markers* in an *Athlete's* bodily *Specimen*.
 - 2.1.1 It is each *Athlete's* personal duty to ensure that no *Prohibited Substance* enters his or her body. *Athletes* are responsible for any *Prohibited Substance* or its *Metabolites* or *Markers* found to be present in their bodily *Specimens*. Accordingly, it is not necessary that intent, fault, negligence or knowing *Use* on the *Athlete's* part be demonstrated in order to establish an anti-doping violation under Article 2.1.
 - 2.1.2 Excepting those substances for which a quantitative reporting threshold is specifically identified in the *Prohibited List*, the detected presence of any quantity of a *Prohibited Substance* or its *Metabolites* or *Markers* in an *Athlete's Sample* shall constitute an anti-doping rule violation.
 - 2.1.3 As an exception to the general rule of Article 2.1, the *Prohibited List* may establish special criteria for the evaluation of *Prohibited Substances* that can also be produced endogenously.
- 2.2 Use or Attempted Use of a Prohibited Substance or a Prohibited Method.
 - 2.2.1 The success or failure of the *Use* of a *Prohibited Substance* or *Prohibited Method* is not material. It is sufficient that the *Prohibited Substance* or *Prohibited Method* was *Used* or *Attempted* to be *Used* for an anti-doping rule violation to be committed.
- 2.3 Refusing, or failing without compelling justification, to submit to *Sample* collection after notification as authorized in applicable anti-doping rules or otherwise evading *Sample* collection.
- 2.4 Violation of applicable requirements regarding *Athlete* availability for *Out-of-Competition Testing* including failure to provide required whereabouts information and missed tests which are declared based on reasonable rules.

- 2.5 *Tampering*, or *Attempting* to tamper, with any part of *Doping Control*.
- 2.6 *Possession* of *Prohibited Substances* and *Methods*:
 - 2.6.1 *Possession* by an *Athlete* at any time or place of a substance that is prohibited in *Out-of-Competition Testing* or a *Prohibited Method* unless the *Athlete* establishes that the *Possession* is pursuant to a therapeutic use exemption granted in accordance with Article 4.4 (Therapeutic *Use*) or other acceptable justification.
 - 2.6.2 *Possession* of a substance that is prohibited in *Out-of-Competition Testing* or a *Prohibited Method* by *Athlete Support Personnel* in connection with an *Athlete, Competition* or training, unless the *Athlete Support Personnel* establishes that the *Possession* is pursuant to a therapeutic use exemption granted to an *Athlete* in accordance with Article 4.4 (Therapeutic *Use*) or other acceptable justification.
- 2.7 *Trafficking* in any *Prohibited Substance* or *Prohibited Method*.
- 2.8 Administration or *Attempted* administration of a *Prohibited Substance* or *Prohibited Method* to any *Athlete*, or assisting, encouraging, aiding, abetting, covering up or any other type of complicity involving an anti-doping rule violation or any *Attempted* violation.

ARTICLE 3: PROOF OF DOPING

3.1 Burdens and Standards of Proof.

The Anti-Doping Organization shall have the burden of establishing that an antidoping rule violation has occurred. The standard of proof shall be whether the Anti-Doping Organization has established an anti-doping rule violation to the comfortable satisfaction of the hearing body bearing in mind the seriousness of the allegation which is made. This standard of proof in all cases is greater than a mere balance of probability but less than proof beyond a reasonable doubt. Where the Code places the burden of proof upon the Athlete or other Person alleged to have committed an anti-doping rule violation to rebut a presumption or establish specified facts or circumstances, the standard of proof shall be by a balance of probability.

3.2 Methods of Establishing Facts and Presumptions.

Facts related to anti-doping rule violations may be established by any reliable means, including admissions. The following rules of proof shall be applicable in doping cases:

3.2.1 *WADA*-accredited laboratories are presumed to have conducted *Sample* analysis and custodial procedures in accordance with the *International*

Standard for laboratory analysis. The *Athlete* may rebut this presumption by establishing that a departure from the *International Standard* occurred.

If the *Athlete* rebuts the preceding presumption by showing that a departure from the *International Standard* occurred, then the *Anti-Doping Organization* shall have the burden to establish that such departure did not cause the *Adverse Analytical Finding*.

3.2.2 Departures from the *International Standard* for *Testing* which did not cause an *Adverse Analytical Finding* or other anti-doping rule violation shall not invalidate such results. If the *Athlete* establishes that departures from the *International Standard* occurred during *Testing* then the *Anti-Doping Organization* shall have the burden to establish that such departures did not cause the *Adverse Analytical Finding* or the factual basis for the anti-doping rule violation.

ARTICLE 9: AUTOMATIC *DISQUALIFICATION* OF INDIVIDUAL RESULTS

An anti-doping rule violation in connection with an *In-Competition* test automatically leads to *Disqualification* of the individual result obtained in that *Competition* with all resulting consequences, including forfeiture of any medals, points and prizes.

ARTICLE 10: SANCTIONS ON INDIVIDUALS

10.1 *Disqualification* of Results in *Event* During which an Anti-Doping Rule Violation Occurs

An anti-doping rule violation occurring during or in connection with an *Event* may, upon the decision of the ruling body of the *Event*, lead to *Disqualification* of all of the *Athletes* individual results obtained in that *Event* with all consequences, including forfeiture of all medals, points and prizes, except as provided in Article 10.1.1.

- 10.1.1 If the *Athlete* establishes that he or she bears *No Fault* or *Negligence* for the violation, the *Athlete's* individual results in the other *Competitions* shall not be *Disqualified* unless the *Athlete's* results in *Competitions* other than the *Competition* in which the anti-doping rule violation occurred were likely to have been affected by the *Athlete's* anti-doping rule violation.
- 10.2 Imposition of *Ineligibility* for *Prohibited Substances* and *Prohibited Methods*

Except for the specified substances identified in Article 10.3, the period of *Ineligibility* imposed for a violation of Articles 2.1 (presence of *Prohibited Substance* or its *Metabolites* or *Markers*), 2.2 (*Use* or *Attempted Use* of *Prohibited Substance* or *Prohibited Method*) and 2.6 (Possession of *Prohibited Substances* and *Methods*) shall be:

• First violation: Two (2) years' *Ineligibility*.

• Second violation: Lifetime *Ineligibility*.

However, the *Athlete* or other *Person* shall have the opportunity in each case, before a period of *Ineligibility* is imposed, to establish the basis for eliminating or reducing this sanction as provided in Article 10.5.

10.3 Specified Substances

The *Prohibited List* may identify specified substances which are particularly susceptible to unintentional anti-doping rules violations because of their general availability in medicinal products or which are less likely to be successfully abused as doping agents. Where an *Athlete* can establish that the *Use* of such a specified substance was not intended to enhance sport performance, the period of *Ineligibility* found in Article 10.2 shall be replaced with the following:

- *First violation*: At a minimum, a warning and reprimand and no period of *Ineligibility* from future *Events*, and at a maximum, one (1) year's *Ineligibility*.
- Second violation: Two (2) years' Ineligibility.
- *Third violation*: Lifetime *Ineligibility*.

However, the *Athlete* or other *Person* shall have the opportunity in each case, before a period of *Ineligibility* is imposed, to establish the basis for eliminating or reducing (in the case of a second or third violation) this sanction as provided in Article 10.5.

10.4 Ineligibility for Other Anti-Doping Rule Violations

The period of *Ineligibility* for other anti-doping rule violations shall be:

- 10.4.1 For violations of Article 2.3 (refusing or failing to submit to *Sample* collection) or Article 2.5 (*Tampering* with *Doping Control*), the *Ineligibility* periods set forth in Article 10.2 shall apply.
- 10.4.2 For violations of Articles 2.7 (*Trafficking*) or 2.8 (administration of *Prohibited Substance* or *Prohibited Method*), the period of *Ineligibility* imposed shall be a minimum of four (4) years up to lifetime *Ineligibility*. An anti-doping rule violation involving a *Minor* shall be considered a particularly serious violation, and, if committed by *Athlete Support Personnel* for violations other than specified substances referenced in Article 10.3, shall result in lifetime *Ineligibility* for such *Athlete Support Personnel*. In addition, violations of such Articles which also violate non-sporting laws and regulations, may be reported to the competent administrative, professional or judicial authorities.

- 10.4.3 For violations of Article 2.4 (whereabouts violation or missed test), the period of *Ineligibility* shall be at a minimum 3 months and at a maximum 2 years in accordance with the rules established by the *Anti-Doping Organization* whose test was missed or whereabouts requirement was violated. The period of *Ineligibility* for subsequent violations of Article 2.4 shall be as established in the rules of the *Anti-Doping Organization* whose test was missed or whereabouts requirement.
- 10.5 Elimination or Reduction of Period of *Ineligibility* Based on Exceptional Circumstances.

10.5.1 No Fault or Negligence

If the *Athlete* establishes in an individual case involving an anti-doping rule violation under Article 2.1 (presence of *Prohibited Substance* or its *Metabolites* or *Markers*) or *Use* of a *Prohibited Substance* or *Prohibited Method* under Article 2.2 that he or she bears *No Fault* or *Negligence* for the violation, the otherwise applicable period of *Ineligibility* shall be eliminated. When a *Prohibited Substance* or its *Markers* or *Metabolites* is detected in an Athlete's Specimen in violation of Article 2.1 (presence of *Prohibited Substance*), the *Athlete* must also establish how the *Prohibited Substance* entered his or her system in order to have the period of *Ineligibility* eliminated. In the *Event* this Article is applied and the period of *Ineligibility* otherwise applicable is eliminated, the anti-doping rule violation shall not be considered a violation for the limited purpose of determining the period of *Ineligibility* for multiple violations under Articles 10.2, 10.3 and 10.6.

10.5.2 No Significant Fault or Negligence

This Article 10.5.2 applies only to anti-doping rule violations involving Article 2.1 (presence of Prohibited Substance or its Metabolites or Markers), Use of a Prohibited Substance or Prohibited Method under Article 2.2, failing to submit to Sample collection under Article 2.3, or administration of a Prohibited Substance or Prohibited Method under Article 2.8. If an Athlete establishes in an individual case involving such violations that he or she bears No Significant Fault or Negligence, then the period of Ineligibility may be reduced, but the reduced period of Ineligibility may not be less than one-half of the minimum period of Ineligibility otherwise applicable. If the otherwise applicable period of Ineligibility is a lifetime, the reduced period under this section may be no less than 8 years. When a Prohibited Substance or its Markers or Metabolites is detected in an Athlete's Specimen in violation of Article 2.1 (presence of *Prohibited Substance*), the *Athlete* must also establish how the Prohibited Substance entered his or her system in order to have the period of Ineligibility reduced.

10.5.3 *Athlete's* Substantial Assistance in Discovering or Establishing Anti-Doping Rule Violations by *Athlete Support Personnel* and Others.

An Anti-Doping Organization may also reduce the period of Ineligibility in an individual case where the Athlete has provided substantial assistance to the Anti-Doping Organization which results in the Anti-Doping Organization discovering or establishing an anti-doping rule violation by another Person involving Possession under Article 2.6.2 (Possession by Athlete Support Personnel), Article 2.7 (Trafficking), or Article 2.8 (administration to an Athlete). The reduced period of Ineligibility may not, however, be less than one-half of the minimum period of Ineligibility otherwise applicable. If the otherwise applicable period of Ineligibility is a lifetime, the reduced period under this section may be no less than 8 years.

- 10.6 Rules for Certain Potential Multiple Violations
 - 10.6.1 For purposes of imposing sanctions under Articles 10.2, 10.3 and 10.4, a second anti-doping rule violation may be considered for purposes of imposing sanctions only if the *Anti-Doping Organization* can establish that the *Athlete* or other *Person* committed the second anti-doping rule violation after the *Athlete* or other *Person* received notice, or after the *Anti-Doping Organization* made a reasonable *Attempt* to give notice, of the first anti-doping rule violation; if the *Anti-Doping Organization* cannot establish this, the violations shall be considered as one single first violation, and the sanction imposed shall be based on the violation that carries the more severe sanction.
 - 10.6.2 Where an *Athlete*, based on the same *Doping Control*, is found to have committed an anti-doping rule violation involving both a specified substance under Article 10.3 and another *Prohibited Substance* or *Prohibited Method*, the *Athlete* shall be considered to have committed a single anti-doping rule violation, but the sanction imposed shall be based on the *Prohibited Substance* or *Prohibited Method*, the *Athlete* shall be considered to have committed a single anti-doping rule violation, but the sanction imposed shall be based on the *Prohibited Substance* or *Prohibited Method* that carries the most severe sanction.
 - 10.6.3 Where an *Athlete* is found to have committed two separate anti-doping rule violations, one involving a specified substance governed by the sanctions set forth in Article 10.3 (Specified Substances) and the other involving a *Prohibited Substance* or *Prohibited Method* governed by the sanctions set forth in Article 10.2 or a violation governed by the sanctions in Article 10.4.1, the period of *Ineligibility* imposed for the second offense shall be at a minimum two years' *Ineligibility* and at a maximum three years' *Ineligibility*. Any *Athlete* found to have committed a third anti-doping rule violation involving any combination of specified substances under Article 10.3 and any other anti-doping rule violation under 10.2 or 10.4.1 shall receive a sanction of lifetime *Ineligibility*.

10.7 Disqualification of Results in Competitions Subsequent to Sample Collection

In addition to the automatic *Disqualification* of the results in the *Competition* which produced the positive *Sample* under Article 9 (Automatic *Disqualification* of Individual Results), all other competitive results obtained from the date a positive *Sample* was collected (whether *In-Competition* or *Out-of-Competition*), or other doping violation occurred, through the commencement of any *Provisional Suspension* or *Ineligibility* period, shall, unless fairness requires otherwise, be *Disqualified* with all of the resulting consequences including forfeiture of any medals, points and prizes.

10.8 Commencement of Ineligibility Period

The period of *Ineligibility* shall start on the date of the hearing decision providing for *Ineligibility* or, if the hearing is waived, on the date *Ineligibility* is accepted or otherwise imposed. Any period of *Provisional Suspension* (whether imposed or voluntarily accepted) shall be credited against the total period of *Ineligibility* to be served. Where required by fairness, such as delays in the hearing process or other aspects of *Doping Control* not attributable to the *Athlete*, the body imposing the sanction may start the period of *Ineligibility* at an earlier date commencing as early as the date of *Sample* collection.

10.9 Status During Ineligibility

No *Person* who has been declared *Ineligible* may, during the period of *Ineligibility*, participate in any capacity in a *Competition* or activity (other than authorized anti-doping education or rehabilitation programs) authorized or organized by any *Signatory* or *Signatory's* member organization. In addition, for any anti-doping rule violation not involving specified substances described in Article 10.3, some or all sport-related financial support or other sport-related benefits received by such *Person* will be withheld by Signatories, Signatories' member organizations and governments. A *Person* subject to a period of *Ineligibility* longer than four years may, after completing four years of the period of *Ineligibility*, participate in local sport *Events* in a sport other than the sport in which the *Person* committed the anti-doping rule violation, but only so long as the local sport event is not at a level that could otherwise qualify such *Person* directly or indirectly to compete in (or accumulate points toward) a national championship or *International Event*.

10.10 Reinstatement Testing

As a condition to regaining eligibility at the end of a specified period of *Ineligibility*, an *Athlete* must, during any period of *Provisional Suspension* or *Ineligibility*, make him or herself available for *Out-of-Competition Testing* by any *Anti-Doping Organization* having testing jurisdiction, and must, if requested, provide current and accurate whereabouts information. If an *Athlete* subject to a period of *Ineligibility* retires from sport and is removed from *Out-of-Competition*

Testing pools and later seeks reinstatement, the *Athlete* shall not be eligible for reinstatement until the *Athlete* has notified relevant *Anti-Doping Organizations* and has been subject to *Out-of-Competition Testing* for a period of time equal to the period of *Ineligibility* remaining as of the date the *Athlete* had retired.

ARTICLE 11 CONSEQUENCES TO TEAMS

Where more than one team member in a *Team Sport* has been notified of a possible antidoping rule violation under Article 7 in connection with an *Event*, the Team shall be subject to *Target Testing* for the *Event*. If more than one team member in a *Team Sport* is found to have committed an anti-doping rule violation during the *Event*, the team may be subject to *Disqualification* or other disciplinary action. In sports which are not *Team Sports* but where awards are given to teams, *Disqualification* or other disciplinary action against the team when one or more team members have committed an anti-doping rule violation shall be as provided in the applicable rules of the International Federation.

ARTICLE 13 APPEALS

3.1 Decisions Subject to Appeal

Decisions made under the *Code* or rules adopted pursuant to the *Code* may be appealed as set forth below in Articles 13.2 through 13.4. Such decisions shall remain in effect while under appeal unless the appellate body orders otherwise. Before an appeal is commenced, any post-decision review provided in the *Anti-Doping Organization's* rules must be exhausted, provided that such review respects the principles set forth in Article 13.2.2 below.

13.2 Appeals from Decisions Regarding Anti-Doping Rule Violations. *Consequences*, and *Provisional Suspensions*

A decision that an anti-doping rule violation was committed, a decision imposing *Consequences* for an anti-doping rule violation, a decision that no anti-doping rule violation was committed, a decision that an *Anti-Doping Organization* lacks jurisdiction to rule on an alleged anti-doping rule violation or its *Consequences*, and a decision to impose a *Provisional Suspension* as a result of a *Provisional Hearing* or in violation of Article 7.5 may be appealed exclusively as provided in this Article 13.2.

13.2.1 Appeals Involving International-Level Athletes

In cases arising from competition in an *International Event* or in cases involving *International-Level Athletes*, the decision may be appealed exclusively to the Court of Arbitration for Sport ("CAS") in accordance with the provisions applicable before such court.

13.2.3 *Persons* Entitled to Appeal

In cases under Article 13.2.1, the following parties shall have the right to appeal to CAS: (a) the Athlete or other Person who is the subject of the decision being appealed; (b) the other party to the case in which the decision was rendered; (c) the relevant International Federation and any other Anti-Doping Organization under whose rules a sanction could have been imposed; (d) the International Olympic Committee or International Paralympic Committee, as applicable, where the decision may have an effect in relation to the Olympic Games or Paralympic Games, including decisions affecting eligibility for the Olympic Games or Paralympic Games; and (e) WADA. In cases under Article 13.2.2, the parties having the right to appeal to the national-level reviewing body shall be as provided in the National Anti-Doping Organization's rules but, at a minimum, shall include: (a) the Athlete or other Person who is the subject of the decision being appealed; (b) the other party to the case in which the decision was rendered; (c) the relevant International Federation; and (d) WADA. For cases under Article 13.2.2, WADA and the International Federation shall also have the right to appeal to CAS with respect to the decision of the national-level reviewing body.

Notwithstanding any other provision herein, the only *Person* that may appeal from a *Provisional Suspension* is the *Athlete* or other *Person* upon whom the *Provisional Suspension* is imposed.

13.3 Appeals from Decisions Granting or Denying a Therapeutic Use Exemption

Decisions by WADA reversing the grant or denial of a therapeutic use exemption may be appealed exclusively to CAS by the *Athlete* or the *Anti-Doping Organization* whose decision was reversed. Decisions by *Anti-Doping Organizations* other than WADA denying therapeutic use exemptions, which are not reversed by WADA, may be appealed by *International-Level Athletes* to CAS and by other *Athletes* to the national level reviewing body described in Article 13.2.2. If the national level reviewing body reverses the decision to deny a therapeutic use exemption, that decision may be appealed to CAS by WADA.

13.4 Appeals from Decisions Imposing *Consequences* under Part Three of the *Code*

With respect to *consequences* imposed under Part Three (Roles and Responsibilities) of the *Code*, the entity upon which *consequences* are imposed under Part Three of the *Code* shall have the right to appeal exclusively to CAS in accordance with the provisions applicable before such court.

13.5 Appeals from Decisions Suspending or Revoking Laboratory Accreditation

Decisions by *WADA* to suspend or revoke a laboratory's *WADA* accreditation may be appealed only by that laboratory with the appeal being exclusively to CAS.

ARTICLE 17 STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS

No action may be commenced against an *Athlete* or other *Person* for a violation of an anti-doping rule contained in the *Code* unless such action is commenced with eight years from the date the violation occurred.

DEFINITIONS

Adverse Analytical Finding: A report from a laboratory or other approved *Testing* entity that identifies in a *Specimen* the presence of a *Prohibited Substance* or its *Metabolites* or *Markers* (including elevated quantities of endogenous substances) or evidence of the *Use* of a *Prohibited Method*.

Anti-Doping Organization: A Signatory that is responsible for adopting rules for initiating, implementing or enforcing any part of the Doping Control process. This includes, for example, the International Olympic Committee, the International Paralympic Committee, other Major Event Organizations that conduct Testing at their Events, WADA, International Federations, and National Anti-Doping Organizations.

Athlete: For purposes of *Doping Control*, any *Person* who participates in sport at the international level (as defined by each International Federation) or national level (as defined by each *National Anti-Doping Organization*) and any additional *Person* who participates in sport at a lower level if designated by the *Person's National Anti-Doping Organization*. For purposes of anti-doping information and education, any *Person* who participates in sport under the authority of any *Signatory*, government, or other sports organization accepting the *Code*.

Athlete Support Personnel: Any coach, trainer, manager, agent, team staff, official, medical or para-medical *Person*nel working with or treating *Athletes* participating in or preparing for sports competition.

Attempt: Purposely engaging in conduct that constitutes a substantial step in a course of conduct planned to culminate in the commission of an anti-doping rule violation. Provided, however, there shall be no anti-doping rule violation based solely on an *Attempt* to commit a violation if the *Person* renunciates the attempt prior to it being discovered by a third party not involved in the *Attempt*.

Code: The World Anti-Doping *Code*.

Competition: A single race, match, game or singular athletic contest. For example, the finals of the Olympic 100-meter dash. For stage races and other athletic contests where prizes are awarded on a daily or other interim basis the distinction between a *Competition* and an *Event* will be as provided in the rules of the applicable International Federation.

Consequences of Anti-Doping Rules Violations: An *Athletes* or other *Person's* violation of an anti-doping rule may result in one or more of the following: (a) **Disqualification** means the *Athletes* results in a particular *Competition* or *Event* are invalidated, with all resulting consequences including forfeiture of any medals, points and prizes; (b) **Ineligibility** means the *Athlete* or other *Person* is barred for a specified period of time from participating in any

Competition or other activity or funding as provided in Article 10.9; and (c) *Provisional Suspension* means the *Athlete* or other *Person* is barred temporarily from participating in any *Competition* prior to the final decision at a hearing conducted under Article 8 (Right to a Fair Hearing).

Disqualification: See Consequences of Anti-Doping Rules Violations above.

Doping Control: The process including test distribution planning, *Sample* collection and handling, laboratory analysis, results management, hearings and appeals.

Event: A series of individual *Competitions* conducted together under one ruling body (e.g., the Olympic Games, FINA World Championships, or Pan American Games).

In-Competition: For purposes of differentiating between *In-Competition* and *Out-of-Competition Testing*, unless provided otherwise in the rules of an International Federation or other relevant *Anti-Doping Organization*, an *In-Competition* test is a test where an *Athlete* is selected for testing in connection with a specific *Competition*.

Independent Observer Program: A team of observers, under the supervision of *WADA*, who observe the *Doping Control* process at certain *Events* and report on observations. If *WADA* is testing *In-Competition* at an *Event*, the observers shall be supervised by an independent organization.

Ineligibility: See Consequences of Anti-Doping Rules Violations above.

International Event: An *Event* where the International Olympic Committee, the International Paralympic Committee, an International Federation, a *Major Event Organization*, or another international sport organization is the ruling body for the *Event* or appoints the technical officials for the *Event*.

International-Level Athlete: Athletes designated by one or more International Federations as being within the *Registered Testing Pool* for an International Federation.

International Standard: A standard adopted by *WADA* in support of the *Code*. Compliance with an *International Standard* (as opposed to another alternative standard, practice or procedure) shall be sufficient to conclude that the procedures addressed by the *International Standard* were performed properly.

Major Event Organizations: This term refers to the continental associations of *National Olympic Committees* and other international multi-sport organizations that function as the ruling body for any continental, regional or other *International Event*.

Marker: A compound, group of compounds or biological parameters that indicates the *Use* of a *Prohibited Substance* or *Prohibited Method*.

Metabolite: Any substance produced by a biotransformation process.

Minor: A natural *Person* who has not reached the age of majority as established by the applicable laws of his or her country of residence.

National Anti-Doping Organization: The entity(ies) designated by each country as possessing the primary authority and responsibility to adopt and implement anti-doping rules, direct the collection of *Samples*, the management of test results, and the conduct of hearings, all at the national level. If this designation has not been made by the competent public authority(ies), the entity shall be the country's *National Olympic Committee* or its designee. [In the United States, this entity is USADA.]

National Event: A sport *Event* involving international or national-level *Athletes* that is not an *International Event*.

National Olympic Committee: The organization recognized by the International Olympic Committee. The term *National Olympic Committee* shall also include the National Sport Confederation in those countries where the National Sport Confederation assumes typical *National Olympic Committee* responsibilities in the anti-doping area. [In the United States, this entity is the United States Olympic Committee.]

No Advance Notice: A *Doping Control* which takes place with no advance warning to the *Athlete* and where the *Athlete* is continuously chaperoned from the moment of notification through *Sample* provision.

No Fault or Negligence: The *Athlete's* establishing that he or she did not know or suspect, and could not reasonably have known or suspected even with the exercise of utmost caution, that he or she had *Used* or been administered the *Prohibited Substance* or *Prohibited Method*.

No Significant Fault or Negligence: The *Athletes* establishing that his or her fault or negligence, when viewed in the totality of the circumstances and taking into account the criteria for *No Fault* or *Negligence*, was not significant in relationship to the anti-doping rule violation.

Out-of-Competition: Any Doping Control which is not In-Competition.

Participant: Any Athlete or Athlete Support Personnel.

Person: A natural Person or an organization or other entity.

Possession: The actual, physical possession. or the constructive *Possession* (which shall be found only if the *Person* has exclusive control over the *Prohibited Substance/Method* or the premises in which a *Prohibited Substance/Method* exists); provided, however, that if the *Person* does not have exclusive control over the *Prohibited Substance/Method* or the premises in which a *Prohibited Substance/Method* exists, constructive possession shall only be found if the *Person* knew about the presence of the *Prohibited Substance/Method* and intended to exercise control over it. Provided, however, there shall be no anti-doping rule violation based solely on *possession* if, prior to receiving notification of any kind that the *Person* has committed an anti-doping rule violation, the *Person* has taken concrete action demonstrating that the *Person* no longer intends to have *Possession* and has renounced the *Person*'s previous *Possession*.

Prohibited List: The List identifying the *Prohibited Substances* and *Prohibited Methods*.

Prohibited Method: Any method so described on the Prohibited List.

Prohibited Substance: Any substance so described on the *Prohibited List*.

Provisional Hearing: For purposes of Article 7.5, an expedited abbreviated hearing occurring prior to a hearing under Article 8 (Right to a Fair Hearing) that provides the *Athlete* with notice and an opportunity to be heard in either written or oral form.

Provisional Suspension: See Consequences above.

Publicly Disclose or Publicly Report: To disseminate or distribute information to the general public or *Persons* beyond those *Persons* entitled to earlier notification in accordance with Article 14.

Registered Testing Pool: The pool of top level *Athletes* established separately by each International Federation and *National Anti-Doping Organization* who are subject to both *In-Competition* and *Out-of-Competition Testing* as part of that International Federation's or Organization's test distribution plan.

Sample Specimen: Any biological material collected for the purposes of Doping Control.

Signatories: Those entities signing the *Code* and agreeing to comply with the *Code*, including the International Olympic Committee, International Federations, International Paralympic Committees, *National Olympic Committees*, National Paralympic Committees, *Major Event Organizations, National Anti-Doping Organizations*, and WADA.

Tampering: Altering for an improper purpose or in an improper way; bringing improper influence to bear; interfering improperly to alter results or prevent normal procedures from occurring.

Target Testing: Selection of *Athletes* for *Testing* where specific *Athletes* or groups of *Athletes* are selected on a non-random basis for *Testing* at a specified time.

Team Sport: A sport in which the substitution of players is permitted during a *Competition*.

Testing: The parts of the *Doping Control* process involving test distribution planning, *Sample* collection, *Sample* handling, and *Sample* transport to the laboratory.

Trafficking: To sell, give, administer, transport, send, deliver or distribute a *Prohibited Substance* or *Prohibited Method* to an *Athlete* either directly or through one or more third parties, but excluding the sale or distribution (by medical personnel or by *Persons* other than an *Athlete's Support Personnel*) of a *Prohibited Substance* for genuine and legal therapeutic purposes.

Use: The application, ingestion. injection or consumption by any means whatsoever of any *Prohibited Substance* or *Prohibited Method*.

WADA: The World Anti-Doping Agency.

ANNEX B TO USOC NATIONAL ANTI-DOPING POLICIES

USADA PROCEDURE REGARDING MISSED TESTS

A. <u>Athlete is not at the location(s) listed by the athlete on the USADA Athlete Location</u> <u>Form.</u>

- **Step 1**. The DCO is obligated to make a reasonable effort to locate the athlete for testing. Before reporting to USADA that an athlete is unavailable for testing the DCO is specifically required to visit within a 24 hour period all locations on the Athlete Location Form and any applicable Athlete Change of Plan Form provided by the athlete. If the DCO cannot locate the athlete, the DCO is required to fill out an Unavailable Athlete Form establishing that reasonable attempts were made to locate the athlete.
- **Step 2**. USADA CEO reviews the DCO's Unavailable Athlete Forms and the Athlete Location Form and any Athlete Change of Plan Form on file with USADA. If there appears to be a reasonable basis for calling this a missed test, then within 30 days after receipt of the Unavailable Athlete Forms the CEO will send notice by letter to the athlete, with a copy to the NGB, inviting the athlete to provide a written explanation why this should not be counted as a "missed test".
- **Step 3**. Based on the athlete's written response, and further investigation if necessary, the CEO shall evaluate whether to treat the attempt to test as a "missed test." In this evaluation the burden shall be on the DCO to establish that the DCO's attempts to locate the athlete for testing were reasonable. The burden shall be on the athlete to establish in his or her written response that he or she was reasonably prevented from notifying USADA that he or she would not be available for testing at the locations set forth on the Athlete Location Form or any applicable Athlete Change of Plan Form.
- **Step 4**. The athlete shall be notified by letter of the CEO's decision. The NGB shall receive a copy of the decision. The CEO's determination of a missed test is not final and shall be subject to the review and appeal process set forth below. If the athlete wishes to contest a missed test decision at a subsequent hearing, then the athlete must file a written objection with USADA within 30 days of notice of USADA's decision. The athlete will also have the right to challenge any finding of any "missed test" objected to within 30 days of notice of the USADA CEO's decision in a hearing brought to impose discipline as a result of three missed tests. The hearing shall be conducted pursuant to the USADA Adjudication Protocol which shall be a part of the Contract between USADA and the USOC ("the USADA Adjudication Protocol"). In addition, within 30 days of notice of the USADA's CEO's decision, the athlete may also request an administrative review of the CEO's determination of a missed test. This administrative review shall be conducted by a three member panel composed of members of the USADA Board of Directors or their designees. Such review shall be based on written submittals

only and shall not be considered a hearing. The decision of the panel shall not be binding in any subsequent hearing initiated by the athlete to contest the determination that the athlete has three missed tests. An athlete shall not be held responsible for subsequent missed tests which occur before the athlete receives notice under Step 2 above of a prior missed test.

B. <u>Athlete is selected for testing from the NGB's Out of Competition ("OOC") pool but the</u> <u>athlete has not filed an Athlete Location Form for the quarter in which the draw takes</u> <u>place (the "current quarter").</u>

- **Step 1**. USADA confirms that the athlete is in the NGB OOC pool and that the athlete was notified in writing of the requirement to submit a quarterly Athlete Location Form to USADA.
- **Step 2.** USADA confirms that the athlete is past the specified deadline for submitting an Athlete Location Form for the current quarter and has had a reasonable time to do so.
- **<u>Step 3</u>**. Athlete is notified by letter and invited to provide an explanation to USADA why no Athlete Location Form was filed for the current quarter.
- **Step 4**. USADA's CEO determines whether to declare a missed test because the athlete's name was drawn for OOC testing and the athlete failed to file an Athlete Location Form for the current quarter.
- The athlete shall be notified by letter of the CEO's decision. The NGB shall receive Step 5. a copy of the decision. The CEO's determination of a missed test is not final and shall be subject to the review and appeal process set forth below. If the athlete wishes to contest a missed test decision at a subsequent hearing, then the athlete must file a written objection with USADA within 30 days of notice of USADA's decision. The athlete will also have the right to challenge any finding of any "missed test" within 30 days of notice of the USADA CEO's decision objected to in a hearing brought to impose discipline as a result of three missed tests. The hearing shall be conducted pursuant to the USADA Adjudication Protocol which shall be a part of the Contract between USADA and the USOC ("the USADA Adjudication Protocol"). In addition, within 30 days of notice of the USADA CEO's decision, the athlete may also request an administrative review of the CEO's determination of a missed test. This administrative review shall be conducted by a three member panel composed of members of the USADA Board of Directors or their designees. Such review shall be based on written submittals only and shall not be considered a hearing. The decision of the panel shall not be binding in any subsequent hearing initiated by the athlete to contest the determination that the athlete has three missed tests. An athlete shall not be held responsible for subsequent missed tests which occur before the athlete receives notice under Step 3 above of a prior missed test.

C. <u>Notice</u>.

For all purposes of this USADA Procedure Regarding Missed Tests, where USADA is required to send notice to the athlete, USADA will send the notice by overnight courier to the

athlete's most recent address on file with USADA. If USADA is not able to obtain delivery at such address, then USADA shall contact the NGB and send notice by overnight courier to the athlete's most recent address on file with the NGB if that is a different address than the most recent address on file with USADA. If the athlete's most recent address on file with USADA and the NGB is the same, or if USADA is unable to obtain delivery at the athlete's most recent address on file with the NGB, then notice to the athlete shall be effective upon the courier's last attempt to deliver.

ANNEX C TO USOC NATIONAL ANTI-DOPING POLICIES

SUSPENSION OF BENEFITS

a) Suspension of USOC Benefits After Adjudication, Admission, or Acceptance

The below chart summarizes the disposition of athlete access to USOC benefits after adjudication, admission, or acceptance of having committed a doping offense. Should an individual other than an athlete be found to have committed a doping offense, by adjudication, admission, or acceptance, that individual shall be treated in a manner consistent with the dispositions set forth in the below chart.

USOC Benefit	Disposition
1. Direct Athlete Support that is not based on a single competitive result	For all violations resulting in a period of suspension, loss of benefit for period of suspension. After the conclusion of the suspension, the athlete will have to re-qualify for athlete support programs (e.g., attain appropriate rank, etc.)
2. Op Gold and single competitive result- based athlete support	If an athlete loses a competitive result as a result of an anti-doping rule violation from in- competition testing, the athlete will lose the Direct Athlete Support based solely on that event (and the result at that event).
3. Tuition Grants	For all violations, loss of benefit for period of suspension. If punishment is loss of result only, there will be no loss of benefit. Athletes cannot be considered for a tuition grant during any period of suspension.
4. Olympic Training Center and Olympic Training Sites Access (camps, etc.)	For all first time violations of section 10.3 of the World Anti-Doping Code, no loss of benefit. For all other violations, loss of benefit for period of suspension.
5. Olympic Training Center Residence	For all first time violations of section 10.3 of the World Anti-Doping Code, no loss of benefit. For all other violations, loss of benefit for period of suspension.
	Recreational drugs not included in the prohibited list, and other conduct issues, and resulting penalties, will be handled through the OTC Code of Conduct.

USOC Benefit	Disposition
6. Other USOC Services	For all first time violations of section 10.3 of
Alumni Relations	the World Anti-Doping Code no loss of benefit.
Athlete Marketing (including access	For all other violations, loss of benefit for
to sponsor programs and OJOP)	period of suspension.
Athlete Service Centers	
Career Consultation	Participation in the OJOP program and sponsor
Media Services	programs will also be dependent on employer
Peak Performers Workshops	or sponsor views.
Personal Development Programs	
Resource Library Access	
Sports Medicine	
Sports Science and Coaching	
SUMMITs	
TeamUSA.net Website	
7. USOC Events	For all violations, loss of benefit or eligibility
Olympic, Pan American, Paralympic	for benefit for period of suspension. This issue
Games teams, trials, and qualifying	may be controlled by IF or IOC or other rules,
events	which may cause a different result.
Titan Games and similar events	
8. Elite Athlete Health Insurance	For all first time violations of section 10.3 of
	the World Anti-Doping Code, no loss of
	benefit. For all other violations, loss of benefit
	for period of suspension.

If a doping offense results in no period of suspension (i.e., only loss of result), then the athlete will lose the result-dependent USOC benefits set forth in the second category of the chart above but no other benefits will be lost.

All USOC benefits are contingent on participation in the anti-doping testing program. Should the case arise where cash benefits of athlete support and tuition grants are paid before an athlete is suspended and the athlete is later determined to have committed a doping offense during the period in which the athlete received benefits, the athlete will have a repayment obligation to the USOC equal to the amount of the benefit received.

To the extent the USOC creates a benefit not listed in the above chart, the USOC will endeavor to classify the new benefit in accordance with similar benefits in the above chart and will publish an addendum to this Annex or restate the entire Annex reflecting that change.

b) Suspension of USOC Benefits Prior to Adjudication, Admission, or Acceptance

After an A sample is declared positive or when an anti-doping case is proceeding:

1. Cash benefits of athlete support that are based on single results (such as Operation Gold or other NGB-specific programs) should be suspended if they have not already been paid when an "A" positive is reported to the USOC for the event that forms the basis of the award. Should the case arise where such result-dependent benefits of athlete grants are paid before suspension and the athlete is determined to have committed an anti-doping rule violation, the athlete will have a repayment obligation to the USOC equal to the amount of the benefit received. The USOC, seeking AAC and other appropriate input, and USADA will together develop: 1) a timeline, and 2) a reporting mechanism for processing and reporting results-dependent event testing. The timeline developed will be recommended for adoption as a National Anti-Doping Policy of the USOC and published as such. Such timeline will allow for test results to be processed and reported, but shall not unreasonably delay payment to athletes.

2. Athlete support payments will be temporarily held by the USOC if there is any outstanding information due to the USOC and USADA until that information is provided.¹

3. For all other USOC-provided benefits or circumstances not falling within the above two categories, no benefits impact would occur until after an admission by an athlete or the conclusion of an adjudication or acceptance of a penalty adverse to an athlete, in which case the benefits would be addressed in accordance with the above chart.

4. Under no circumstances, except those described specifically above will the USOC withhold athlete support benefits or monies, unless otherwise required by law or superior regulation.

c) Access to NGB Benefits and Services

Under the World Anti-Doping Code, NGBs, as members of a Code signatory (the USOC), must engage in the same or similar suspensions of benefits and access as the USOC. NGBs are to adopt policies similar to those adopted by the USOC within this policy, subject to USOC approval.

¹ The information referred to in this paragraph is limited to USOC paperwork required to provide the athlete support benefit, athlete location information required by USADA, and similar information that might be requested in the future to allow administration of these programs.