

Preserving the integrity of competition. **Inspiring** true sport. **Protecting** the rights of athletes.

VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL TO

July 25, 2012

Tim Herman HOWRY BREEN & HERMAN, LLP 1900 Pearl Street Austin, Texas 78705-5408

Re: Lance Armstrong v. United States Anti-Doping Agency ("USADA"), et al., Cause No. 1:12-cv-00606-SS Limited Discovery on USADA's Motion to Dismiss

Dear Tim:

Your last letter of July 24, 2012, invited me to write you with any questions.

First, I respectfully disagree with your statement in that letter that Plaintiff's Amended Complaint and originally filed brief address USADA's assertion of jurisdiction under the USADA Protocol and the United States Olympic Committee ("USOC") National Anti-Doping Policies. Indeed, as far as I can see, nothing you have filed to date even mentions the USOC National Anti-Doping Policies and the only references to the USADA Protocol relate not to USADA's jurisdiction thereunder but to Plaintiff's due process claim. Thus, in my opinion you have not attempted to provide a cogent explanation of how Plaintiff's discovery request relates to the limited jurisdiction issue before the Court.

Also, your letter does not address USADA's request for all documents which allegedly evidence a contract between Plaintiff and the Union Cycliste Internationale. Will Plaintiff be providing any such documents by the close of business on Wednesday, July 25, 2012? If not, when do you propose to provide these documents to USADA?

Kind regards,

UNITED STATES ANTI-DOPING AGENCY

William Bock, III General Counsel

WB/ljm